UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,317	11/21/2003	Sumita Rao	UTL 00388	3079
	7590 05/05/200 RELESS CORP.	9	EXAMINER WIENER, ERIC A ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	INER
P.O. BOX 9282			WIENER, ERIC A	
SAN DIEGO, C	A 92192-8289		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/719,317	RAO, SUMITA	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric Wiener	2179	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICA	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communical NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal mattel	•	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/719,317 Page 2

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been

timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR

1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/9/2009 has been entered.

2. Claim 30 is pending. Claims 1-29 have been cancelled. Claim 30 is the independent

claim. Claim 30 is the amended claim. Claim 30 has been rejected by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noesgaard et al.

(US 7,113,809 B2) in view of Haller et al. (US 6,909,878 B2) and further in view of Giacalone,

Jr. (US 7,228,341 B2).

As per independent claim 30, Noesgaard discloses a method for arranging and playing a media presentation (Abstract), comprising:

- receiving a selection of a plurality of media objects to be included in a media presentation (column 2, lines 23 – 52 column 6, lines 42 – 50):

Page 3

- receiving configuration instructions for ordering the plurality of media objects in the media presentation (column 6, lines 42 50);
- providing a wireless communication device with an association list that identifies a trigger event to be associated with a media package (column 5, lines 16-23).

It has been interpreted that the providing of predetermined information corresponding to media objects in different manners sufficiently corresponds to providing an ordered sequence of media objects associated with an association list that identifies a trigger event.

Noesgaard does not explicitly disclose generating a media package comprising the selected media objects in said order and transmitting the media package to a wireless communication device.

Nevertheless, in an analogous art, Haller discloses:

- generating a media package comprising the selected media objects in said order (column 8, lines 3 8) and
- transmitting the media package to a wireless communication device (column 3, lines 28 30, column 7, lines 65 column 8, line 8; column 8, lines 40 54; and column 13, lines 16 20).

Both Noesgaard and Haller pertain to the analogous art of providing arrangements of multimedia files such as screen savers to mobile phones (Noesgaard, Abstract and Haller,

column 2, lines 29 - 53). Therefore, one would look to the other for possible improvements upon their inventions. Furthermore, Haller discloses that, regarding such multimedia arrangements like that of Noesgaard, a user may be interested in having all or some of the multimedia arranged through such means as related themes that may be changed without user intervention (Haller, column 2, lines 17 - 25). Therefore, because it is desirable that such multimedia arrangements be communicated without a request from a user, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Haller with the invention of Noesgaard.

Even though Noesgard discloses identifying a trigger event to be associated with the media package (Noesgard; column 5, lines 16 - 23) and Haller discloses transmitting a media package to a wireless communication device (Haller; column 3, lines 28 - 30, column 7, lines 65 - 20 column 8, line 8; column 8, lines 40 - 54; and column 13, lines 16 - 20), Noesgard and Haller do not explicitly disclose that a trigger event is included in an association list and that an association list is transmitted to a wireless communication device along with a media package.

Nevertheless, in an analogous art, Giacalone, Jr. discloses:

- receiving configuration instructions for ordering a plurality of media objects in the media presentation and generating a media package comprising selected media objects in an order (column 10, line 57 column 11, line 27);
- identifying a trigger event to be associated with the media package, wherein said trigger event is included in an association list (column 2, lines 10 53); and

Application/Control Number: 10/719,317

Art Unit: 2179

- transmitting the media package and said association list to a wireless

communication device (column 1, lines 24 – 30 and column 2, lines 3 – 9 and 54 –

Page 5

62).

Noesgaard, Haller, and Giacalone, Jr. all pertain to the analogous art of providing

arrangements of multimedia files to devices such as wireless communication devices

(Noesgaard, Abstract; Haller, column 2, lines 29 – 53; and Giacalone, Jr., column 1, lines 49 –

52). Therefore, one would look to the others for possible improvements or modifications to their

inventions. Furthermore, Giacalone, Jr. discloses that there is a known desire for the

improvement of scheduling abilities relating to the providing of association lists pertaining to

scheduling and triggering of presentations of media, because current scheduling abilities require

considerably costly and time consuming overhead to distribute to devices (Giacalone, Jr., column

1, lines 49 – 67). Therefore, it would be desirable for Noesgaard and Haller to incorporate

teachings of Giacalone, Jr. to lessen such possible overhead relating to scheduling and

distribution of media in their analogous systems, and thus it would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Noesgaard, Haller,

and Giacalone, Jr..

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 3/9/2009 have been fully considered, but are moot in view

of new grounds of rejection necessitated by amendment.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,317 Page 6

Art Unit: 2179

Conclusion

6. It is noted that any citation to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the references should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art. In re Heck, 699 F.2d 1331, 1332-33,216 USPQ 1038, 1039 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (quoting In re Lemelson, 397 F.2d 1006,1009, 158 USPQ 275, 277 (CCPA 1968)).

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure. The cited documents represent the general state of the art.

Cited art of particular note includes, but is not limited to:

- Kirby et al. (US 2004/0165006 A1)
- Lowe (US 7,123,696 B2)
- Merrill et al. (US 2004/0002943 A1)
- Freeman et al. (US 5,861,881)
- Hempleman et al. (US 6,243,725 B1)
- Irvin (US 6,360,101 B1)
- Wells et al. (US 5,870,683)
- Makipaa et al. (US 2003/0169306 A1)
- Farber et al. (US 5,819,284)
- Knepper (US 6,763,272 B2)

Application/Control Number: 10/719,317

Art Unit: 2179

- Loughran (US 7,231,198 B2)

- King et al. (US 2002/0055992 A1)

- Fukuda (US 6,810,115 B2)

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 7

examiner should be directed to Eric A. Wiener whose telephone number is 571-270-1401. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Weilun Lo, can be reached on 571-272-4847. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Eric Wiener/

Examiner, Art Unit 2179

/Ba Huynh/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2179